

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | T NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONF | | D INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/657,359 | 09/08/2003 | Joan Adell Jones | 10008.0081US01 | 9558 | | | |
| James D. Withe | 7590 01/16/2007 ers | | EXAM | INER | | | |
| Withers & Keys, LLC | | | MUROMOTO JR, ROBERT H | | | | |
| P.O. Box 2049 McDonough, G | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | • | | 3765 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | · | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | | |
| | | • | 01/16/2007 | PAPER | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before | the Filing of an Appeal Brief | • | | | | | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-------------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/657,359 | JONES ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Robert H. Muromoto, Jr. | 3765 | |

| | Robert H. Muromoto, Jr. | 3/05 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl | ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | | E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying t | he issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1° | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1:12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended. | I be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 13. Other: | | Cobert Mil | omoto |
| | | TAD | 1/10/ |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has simply incorporated limitations from claims that have already been addressed in previous actions and arguments presented are not persuasive.